

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,684	09/05/2003	Makarand P. Gore	200311300-1	6500
7590 03/30/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			HESS, BRUCE H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
· 3 MONTHS		03/30/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/655,684	GORE, MAKARAND P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce H. Hess	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21-07 (RCE)					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	-· action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. いち~58 Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
, <u> </u>						
Application Papers		·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 45-58 are rejected under 35 USC 112 (second paragraph) for the reasons set forth below:

A. Absent an underlying substrate or support, the "article" embodiment is indefinite since it is actually a composition; and

B. The "composition" embodiment cannot be overprinted. If one element is overprinted on another element, the resulting product is an article, not a composition.

Claims 45, 46, 49-53 and 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Morgan for the reasons of record.

Applicants' arguments are not commensurate in scope with their claim language. In particular, the claims are silent with respect to specific amounts of chroman. Since no reaction is 100 % complete, some unreacted chroman will be present. Finally, it is noted that the chroan compound can comprise up to 10 % of the Morgan imaging layer.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

